Community-Engaged Scholarship: Meeting Scholarly Project Requirements While Advancing Community Health

LINDA N. MEURER, MD, MPH SABINA DIEHR, MD

The Challenge

As medicine strives to address social determinants of health to eliminate health disparities and improve health outcomes, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education scholarship requirement presents an opportunity for residents to apply systematic inquiry to community-identified problems. Trainees are expected to engage in scholarship, and this framework can be applied in a community setting, and can take into account the participatory nature, purpose, and unique challenges of community-engaged work.

What Is Known

Broadened definitions of scholarship and application of the Glassick criteria¹ beyond traditional research have promoted systematic inquiry in the context of health care systems, such as clinical practice, teaching, and quality improvement. This has expanded the ways in which trainees can apply a scholarly approach.² Community-engaged scholarship involves trainees in a mutually beneficial relationship with the community, and often combines aspects of other types of scholarship, including teaching and research, with community service and action. It entails participatory decision making, bidirectional learning, and contribution by both the academic and community partners at each step of the process.^{3,4}

The Glassick criteria applied to community-engaged scholarship (Table) are as follows.

Clear Goals: To ensure that residents' scholarship meets expectations for community engagement, the project must emphasize shared goals that are oriented to the partner

Linda N. Meurer, MD, MPH, is Professor, Family & Community Medicine, and Director, Academic Fellowship in Primary Care/Community-Based Research, and Urban and Community Pathway at the Medical College of Wisconsin; and **Sabina Diehr, MD**, is Associate Professor in Family & Community Medicine and Faculty Mentor for the Homeless Outreach in Medical Education (HOME) Project at the Medical College of Wisconsin.

Funding: Work was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, grant No. D56HP10304; and the Educational Leadership for the Health of the Public-Research and Education Initiative Fund, a component of the Advancing a Healthier Wisconsin endowment at the Medical College of Wisconsin.

Corresponding author: Linda N. Meurer, MD, MPH, Department of Family & Community Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 West Watertown Plank Road, Milwaukee, WI 53226, 414.456.5724, Imeurer@mcw.edu

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-12-00164.1

agency's mission and resources and to the needs and priorities of the community served.

Appropriate Preparation: Residents should develop adequate background knowledge through literature review and consultation with experts in content, methods, and community context. Time is needed for trust and relationship building, and to develop broad-based support for the work to be done.

Appropriate Methods: Participatory methods must be aligned with goals, feasible, ethical, and culturally appropriate. Input from the target populations ensures that methods are relevant and acceptable for accessing meaningful data for their community.

Significant Results: In addition to the magnitude or statistical power of the results, significance refers to the importance and meaningfulness of the findings to key stakeholders, and the findings' utility to inform action or policy. Community-engaged scholarship results are often most meaningful locally, and not easily generalized. As experts of their own context, community members contribute to the interpretation of the results.

Effective Presentation: Peer-reviewed products can be shared through scientific journals, professional meetings, or electronic repositories (eg, http://www.ces4health.info/, accessed July 17, 2012). Communities may share results with their members through meetings, reports, newsletters, media and action.

Reflective Critique: Community-engaged scholarship lends itself well to reflection at many levels: (1) results, in the context of community and existing literature; (2) the participatory process, and the richness and challenges of the relationships built; and (3) impact on learning, including the ability to combine knowledge with action to address social determinants of health in individuals and community.

How Can You Start TODAY

Program Director and Faculty: Familiarize residents and faculty with the resources available through the Community-Campus Partnerships for Health (http://depts. washington.edu/ccph/) and help residents engaged in community service projects frame their work in terms of the Glassick criteria to raise the project rigor to a level of

systematic inquiry that meets your scholarship requirements.

Residents: Identify a local community agency that addresses a health issue of importance to you. Meet with agency staff to learn about their organization, mission, and community served. Discover how you might contribute to their mission while learning about the social factors underlying health disparities. Seek scholarly opportunities that have mutual benefit to you and to the community served.

What You Can Do LONG TERM

Partner with local community agencies to develop servicelearning opportunities that meet your scholarly project requirement and community-identified needs. Incorporate community-engaged scholarship principles in grand rounds and didactic presentations. Provide time and flexibility in residents' schedules to meet the demands of building community-academic partnerships.

Resources

- 1 Glassick CE, Huber MT, Maeroff GI. Scholarship Assessed: Evaluation of the Professoriate. San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass; 1997.
- 2 Simpson DE, Meurer LN, Braza D. Meeting the scholarly project requirement—application of Glassick's criteria beyond research. *J Grad Med Educ*. 2012;4(1):111–112.
- 3 Calleson DC, Jordan C, Seifer SD. Community-engaged scholarship: is faculty work in communities a true academic enterprise? Acad Med. 2005;80:317–321.
- 4 Community-engaged scholarship. Community-Campus Partnerships for Health (CCPH) website. http://depts.washington.edu/ccph/scholarship. html. Accessed July 17, 2012.
- 5 Meurer LN, Young S, Meurer JR, Johnson S, Gilbert I, Diehr S. The urban and community health pathway: preparing socially responsive physicians through community-engaged learning. Am J Prev Med. 2011;41(4 suppl 3):S228–S236.

TABLE Glassick's Criteria Applied to Community-Engaged Scholarship

Glassick Criteria	Community Engagement	Example: Homeless Outreach in Medical Education (HOME) ^a
Clear goals	Shared goals of importance to community served "SMART" objectives (specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and time bound)	 Problem: homeless people experience difficulty receiving medical care due to transient living; discontinuity of care; unavailable past medical records. Action: residents tour a shelter for homeless men and learn about health challenges and how they might help. Objective: determine the feasibility of a portable EMR that meets shelter residents' needs and circumstances.
Adequate preparation	Knowledge of scientific background, local assets, and needs Partner's history, attitudes, structure, economic realities Broad-based support/mutual understanding	 Literature review of health issues for homeless individuals, consequences of care discontinuity. Inventory of local health providers who serve homeless; stakeholder interviews to assess resources, barriers. Meetings with shelter staff to clarify need and assure mutual decision making.
Appropriate methods	Appropriate, valid methodsParticipatory methods; meaningful input from target population	Focus groups with shelter residents inform priorities.Survey of homeless clients of ways to keep medical records.
Significant results	 Measures of inputs, process, outcomes, goal achievement Results meaningful scientifically and to community—inform local action. 	Shelter residents desire a database that is secure, free, and accessible by multiple service providers. Solutions may need to include portable storage media, or require additional funding.
Effective presentation	Publication or presentation to stakeholders (eg, academia, community, media, policymakers)	 Report shared with shelter residents and staff. Copresented with community partner as peer-reviewed poster at department research forum. Editorial published in local newspaper advocating for policy change.
Reflective critique	Critical reflection on partnership, processes, results, and impact to guide community action	 Trainees contributed to information and advocacy needs of shelter, while gaining valuable insight into the available services, health priorities and structural barriers faced by homeless individuals.

Abbreviations: EMR, electronic medical record.

a Adapted from a faculty-mentored medical student group project for the Medical College of Wisconsin Urban and Community Health Pathway.5